

# NETWORK CONNECTION

FIRST QUARTER 2020

THIS ISSUE INCLUDES THE  
2019 VOTING RECORD



## Finding Hope in a Divided Time



# CONTENTS

## 3 ENVISIONING

### Creating Hope in the Midst of Fear

*Honoring Our Values as We Enter an Election Year*

## 5 LEAD STORY

### Confronting Executive Attacks on the Common Good

*Working to Protect Programs and People*

## 7 VOTING RECORD

### Voting Record of the 116th Congress, First Session

*See How Your Legislators Voted on NETWORK's Issue Areas*

## ENGAGE WITH NETWORK IN 2020

With the general election less than a year away, we at NETWORK are looking ahead with hope and welcome. Stay in touch with NETWORK as the election nears by visiting [www.networklobby.org/connect](http://www.networklobby.org/connect) or emailing us at [info@networklobby.org](mailto:info@networklobby.org) to sign up for NETWORK emails. Also, text JUSTICE to 877-877 to receive text messages from NETWORK!

## ON THE COVER

Senator Tim Kaine of Virginia joins Sister Simone Campbell, SSS, NETWORK members, and other faith leaders to call on Congress to reduce funding for childhood detention in September. Photo by: Colleen Ross

STAY CONNECTED WITH US!



[www.facebook.com/NetworkLobby](http://www.facebook.com/NetworkLobby)



[www.twitter.com/NetworkLobby](http://www.twitter.com/NetworkLobby)

Articles in NETWORK Connection may be reprinted. Please include the following on the reprints: "Reprinted by permission of NETWORK, advocates for justice inspired by Catholic sisters, [www.networklobby.org](http://www.networklobby.org)." Please send us a copy of the reprinted article.

First Quarter—Vol. 48, No. 1, NETWORK Connection ISSN 0199-5723. Published quarterly by NETWORK, phone: 202-347-9797, fax: 202-347-9864, email: [connection@networklobby.org](mailto:connection@networklobby.org), [www.networklobby.org](http://www.networklobby.org). Annual dues: \$50/\$60 international.

Postmaster: Send address changes to NETWORK, 820 First Street NE, Suite 350, Washington, DC 20002-8065.

# Creating Hope in the Midst of Fear

## *Honoring Our Values as We Enter an Election Year*

SISTER SIMONE CAMPBELL

**W**hat a year 2019 has been. As you will see from the voting record, we have made some progress on legislation that mends the gaps in income and wealth disparity in our nation, but there is still much more work to be done.

On one side of the Capitol, the House of Representatives has been busy crafting solutions for the challenging problems we face today. These bills are a great road map for legislation in the next Congress. Leadership in the Senate, on the other hand, has been working hard to avoid any substantive work. For me, this painful reality underscores the fact that elections make a difference.

In 2017 and 2018, our advocacy efforts focused on preventing harmful legislation from passing. We protected the Affordable Care Act, ensured that a good Farm Bill passed, and fought valiantly against disastrous tax legislation. Then in November 2018, we showed up at the polls and made change.

Now, when people are discouraged because the Senate has not taken up any House-passed legislation this year, I say: “Do not be discouraged. There is another election coming. We must be prepared!”

Over many conversations with residents of red states, I have learned that these voters are not motivated by policy specifics. Rather, again and again, I find voters who are motivated by anger, fear, and hurt at “being left out” of the national dialogue. The dominant narrative for too long has been a message of scarcity and competition, and too many candidates feed into that narrative.

Hearing this fear and fear echoed by voters has led me to realize elections are not won on technical policy proposals. No, the most critical factor in an election is the values we share! *Who is included in our concern? Whom do we speak to? And whom do we speak about?* Can we, and our candidates, envision a nation where all are included and all are cared for?

The role of the primaries is for each party to choose the best candidate to represent that party’s sense of the common good to the nation. For this, we need to understand and evaluate the nuances of candidates’ policies and proposals. In this primary season, the differences between “Medicare for All,” “a Public Option,” or “Medicare for all who want it” matter a great deal.

Nevertheless, I have learned over many conversations that the nation as a whole is looking for leadership that will care for the needs of our entire nation.

Whoever wins the primary and general election must put aside the conflicts of campaign and work for the common good. What matters then is that we hold a belief, rooted in Catholic Social Justice, that health care is a right. For this reason, our government has an obligation to ensure that health care is available and affordable to all people in our nation. Any candidate we choose to vote for must share this value.

Another important value we hold dear is honoring and respecting all in our nation who work together for our shared future. This demands respect and welcome for the immigrant community. We can argue about the details of immigration reform policy, but the basic principle is that we must honor the human dignity of millions of immigrants because they are important members of our communities. Immigrant families and communities deserve our support and an opportunity to become full citizens in the country where they live and work. We value supporting and nurturing families, not tearing them apart.

It is not just health care and immigration where our values matter. We deeply value the dignity of work and the right to be able to support our families with our wages. We support raising the minimum wage to a living wage that can actually do what Pope Francis calls us to do. Pope Francis states clearly that all workers should be able to care for their families, experience leisure, and save for retirement on their wages. A smaller and smaller percentage of our U.S. population is able to do this.

These three values, of achieving affordable health care, respecting immigrants, and securing living wages, will anchor our work for justice in the first half of 2020. There are additional values we hold dear, but this is a good start. As you consider your vote in the primaries, have conversations with friends and neighbors, ponder how to care for our democracy, remember that our values are the bedrock of our participation. Then as we approach the November election, the most critical questions become: *Who shares my values? Who will further the common good?* Come to your answer and then let your values shine as a light for others. Our nation is hungry for such a beacon of hope. ☀️

# Notable Quotables

What justice-seekers have been saying this quarter

"Seeing the many families was another reminder that militarizing the border against innocent families is in stark contrast of our Catholic identity."

Melissa Cedillo, writing for the NETWORK blog after attending Jornada por la Justicia in El Paso

"Lawmakers must call for faithful trade deals founded on the principles of solidarity, justice, and human dignity."

Laura Peralta-Schulte, NETWORK Senior Government Relations Advocate, urging Congress to pass the USMCA

"To influence economic outcomes, reduce inequality, and improve the lives and well-being of people experiencing poverty, we need policymakers to make different choices."

Clare Guzdar of Groundwork Collaborative writing about inflation inequality in a NETWORK blog

"Our democracy is better for having prioritized investments in human needs."

Tralonne Shorter, NETWORK Senior Government Relations Advocate responding to the final FY 2020 spending bill passed by Congress and signed into law

"In a secular democracy, voting is the closest thing we have to a sacrament. We must protect this sacrament."

Sister Quincy Howard, OP, NETWORK Government Relations Specialist, speaking of the need to protect our elections one year ahead of the 2020 Election.

"Each day that a Latina is not paid fairly is one day too many, and the impact of losing even one cent has real consequences for Latinas and our families."

Mónica Ramírez, organizer of the National Latina Equal Pay Day of Action, writing on #LatinaEqualPayDay for Fortune.com

"Kicking everyday families off of critical food assistance will only harm our nation."

Tweet from Rep. Barbara Lee (CA-13) (@RepBarbaraLee) opposing the Trump administration's proposed cuts to SNAP

"We need a departure from the cruelty of Trump's immigration policies."

Adrian Reyna, Strategy Director of United We Dream Action, calling on Democratic presidential candidates to support just immigration policies

"Our hearts have been broken by the story of a young life lost by something preventable and at the hands of policies of our country."

Sister Áine O'Connor, RSM quoted in Catholic News Service on the one-year anniversary of Jakelin Caal Maquin's death in the custody of U.S. Customs and Border Protection

"There is no reality of filling this democratic dream if we don't allow our Dreamers to fully participate."

Derrick Johnson, NAACP President and CEO, speaking outside the Supreme Court as the Justices heard cases concerning DACA (Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals)

"Justice demands that every member of our human family is protected from hate and discrimination."

Sister Simone Campbell, SSS quoted in America Magazine about the Supreme Court cases on civil rights for people who are LGBTQ+

# Confronting Executive Attacks on the Common Good

## Working to Protect Programs and People

SISTER QUINCY HOWARD, OP, NETWORK GOVERNMENT RELATIONS SPECIALIST

Throughout 2019, President Trump and the Trump administration continued directing the full force of the executive branch toward weakening programs that mend the gaps in our nation and further harming vulnerable families. Countless executive orders and administrative rule changes undermined legislation and distorted Congressional intent for policies NETWORK cares about.

President Trump's February emergency declaration to redirect more than \$6.6 billion to his border wall was the most egregious act of executive overreach in 2019. With this declaration, President Trump attempted to usurp Congress's budgetary authority and unilaterally redirect federal funds that Congress had previously appropriated to the Department of Homeland Security, the Treasury Department, and the Department of Defense. Congress and advocates for the common good challenged this decision through the legislative and judicial branches for much of the year and legal challenges will continue into 2020. Following President Trump's emergency declaration, the rest of the year saw a continuous stream of proposed administrative rule changes designed to peel back protections and access to safety net supports across multiple agencies.

### Census

In June, the Supreme Court ultimately ended the Trump administration's ongoing attempt to include a last-minute citizenship question on the 2020 Census questionnaire. The Supreme Court rejected the reason the Trump administration provided for including the citizenship question and without a better explanation, rejected the question's inclusion. It was unclear whether the Trump administration would continue trying to win its inclu-

sion, but they eventually decided to move on to other methods of collecting this information. The latest plan from the Commerce Department is to supplement Census data with existing federal records to achieve their stated goal of removing noncitizens when apportioning Congressional districts in 2021.

### Health Care

Throughout 2019, the Trump administration continued encouraging states to submit Medicaid work requirement waivers, as they had in 2018. Many states are exploring or working to implement these harmful rules. If implemented, work requirements will have disastrous impacts on healthcare coverage in these states. The poorly managed implementation of work requirements in Arkansas resulted in the loss of coverage for tens of thousands of Medicaid recipients before the courts intervened. Arkansas, Kentucky, and New Hampshire have since had their work requirements blocked by court rulings. Two additional states, Arizona and Indiana, chose to delay their work requirements from going into effect, and other states with approval to implement work requirements are not scheduled to go into effect until 2020 or 2021. The legality of applying work requirements to Medicaid coverage continues to move through the courts. The rulings will ultimately determine the outcome for work requirements in at least 18 states, which are at various stages of proposing or implementing work requirements for their Medicaid programs.

### Immigration

The Trump administration's relentless and cruel attacks on immigrants and the immigrant community in the U.S. continued throughout 2019. A series of misguided and threatening policies targeting migrants seeking entry and protection at the southern border was implemented. These policies include: Family Separation, Remain in Mexico, Zero Tolerance, restricting the rights of asylum-seekers, and collecting DNA samples from detainees. They have harmed and even led to the death of immigrants and amplified the moral and humanitarian crisis at our southern border and in detention facilities across the country. Additionally, the Trump administration continues attempting to end the Temporary Protected Status (TPS) and Deferred

(continued on page 6)



Sister Quincy Howard shows support for the Census outside the Supreme Court in April.



Laura Peralta-Schulte protests the Trump administration's treatment of immigrants in July.

Enforced Departure (DED) programs, which give thousands of immigrants permission to live in the United States while their home countries recover from various disasters. The latest cutoff dates for these programs are January 2021 and March 2020, respectively.

The Trump administration's change to the "Public Charge" rule was set to go into effect in October 2019. This change would limit access to permanent resident status when legal immigrants or their family members (including children who are U.S. citizens) are eligible to utilize federal programs. Fortunately, a district court issued a temporary injunction preventing the change from going into effect

while it moves through the courts for immigrants already living in the U.S. The new Public Charge rule did go into effect, however, for immigrants seeking permanent residency from outside of the U.S.

## Labor

In 2019, the Department of Labor (DOL) proposed a number of rule changes that benefit employers at the expense of workers. The Trump administration implemented a lower threshold for overtime pay than the Obama administration had proposed, causing more than two million workers to miss out on a possible raise. The Trump administration's decision to end the collection of pay data makes it more difficult to identify trends of discriminatory pay practices. Applying more lenient guidelines to "joint employer" status makes it easier for employers to shirk their responsibilities by outsourcing work to contractors. Additional rules changes regarding wages for tipped workers would allow employers to exploit workers by paying them the sub-minimum wage for non-tipped work. These changes and new proposed rules would put all workers in a weaker position and make them more susceptible to low wages and exploitation.

## Nutrition

Three proposed rule changes at the U.S. Department of Agriculture targeted the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) and directly contradicted Congressional intent as it was explicitly expressed in the 2018 Farm Bill. The Administration's proposed rule adding work requirements for food assistance is scheduled to go into effect in early April 2020, but

is sure to be challenged in the courts. Two other rules proposed in 2019 would lead to further significant cuts to SNAP eligibility. While there are no dates set for them to go into effect, they have both moved through the public comment period.

## Economic Justice

In late spring 2019, the Consumer Finance Protection Bureau (CFPB), proposed a rule change that would open the floodgates for predatory lenders. The agency plans to rescind the requirement for lenders to assess a borrower's ability to pay before issuing a loan. This basic function of underwriting was deemed "burdensome" for providers of payday loans, vehicle title loans, and other high-cost installment loans. Thus the CFPB, which was established to protect consumers from predatory financial practices, approved the practice of trapping borrowers in predatory lending cycles.

An insidious rule proposed by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) in the summer of 2019 would change the formula used for calculating the official poverty measure. While this sounds like an obscure technical change, it would have far-reaching implications in the way the government calculates and addresses poverty in our nation. This technicality would falsely reflect less poverty over time and would result in fewer and fewer economically vulnerable households qualifying for federal programs that help them make ends meet.

The Trump administration's attempts to cut supports to the most vulnerable have been relentless. These damaging rule changes and other executive actions led NETWORK members across the country to mobilize and speak out against them and their harmful effects in 2019. In response to the seriousness of these threats to programs and people, the nature of our advocacy has shifted to not only work for just policies in Congress but also to influence the executive branch and encourage them to care for the vulnerable in our nation, not turn our backs on them. This will continue in 2020; we look forward to working for justice together. ☀️



Tralonne Shorter joins Rep. Rosa DeLauro (CT-03) to advocate for paid sick days in March.

# 2019

## Contrasting Visions and Action in Congress

Laura Peralta-Schulte and Tralonne Shorter, NETWORK Senior Government Relations Advocates

NETWORK enthusiastically welcomed the January swearing in of the 116<sup>th</sup> Congress, bringing many new first-time members of Congress and dividing power between the Democratic-controlled House and Republican-controlled Senate. After two years of continuous Republican attacks on key human needs and racial justice priorities — health care, immigration, taxes, and more — this Congress represented a new beginning, advancing a progressive agenda to lift families out of poverty and create a path to prosperity.

### Progress in the House

In the House, bills on virtually all of NETWORK's Mend the Gap priorities were passed under the leadership of Speaker Nancy Pelosi and a more inclusive Democratic party led by women and people of color. Legislative accomplishments included historic bills to restore our democracy, protect health care and lower drug prices, protect immigrant communities, uphold equal protections for the LGBTQ+ community, and provide support for workers to succeed at work and at home. Just as importantly, the House consistently functioned to fulfill the longstanding traditions of deliberation and debate: House committees held full hearings on important issues and allowed Members to write, amend, and pass bills addressing critical needs facing our country. NETWORK encouraged House members to prioritize the needs of women and people of color.

NETWORK staff in D.C. and our members around the country worked at breakneck speed lobbying Members of Congress to prioritize our Mend the Gap agenda. Our shared work included meeting with Members of Congress and their staff on Capitol Hill and in-district, placing calls to their offices, promoting policies on social media, writing letters to the editor, and more: all to influence the House's agenda. This year's House Voting Record, with ten NETWORK-supported votes, is a testimony to our collective work to create greater justice.

### Senate Inaction

This year's House action is a stark contrast to the lack of progress in the Senate under the leadership of Majority Leader Mitch McConnell. While presiding over the Senate last year, Majority Leader McConnell referred to himself as "the Grim Reaper" and gloated that the Senate became a graveyard where bills passed in the House die. The Republican Senate leadership's focus in



Sister Simone Campbell and other faith leaders pray in support of the Equality Act before its passage in the House of Representatives.

2019 had only one aim: confirming nominees to the federal courts and executive agencies. Many of the nominees for the courts and executive agencies received high levels of scrutiny regarding their fitness for these positions. The American Bar Association, which reviews the record of federal appointees in both Democratic and Republican administrations, determined six nominees for the Courts were "not qualified" for the judicial appointments they received due to concerns about judicial temperament, experience, or bias. This is highly unusual. Similarly, the Senate confirmed numerous appointments of people to high-level positions in executive agencies: often agencies to which the nominee has been openly hostile.

### End-of-Year Accomplishments

Two end-of-year highlights deserve note. In a very difficult political environment and through a yearlong effort, Congress found a way to fund the government for 2020 and avoid a government shutdown. In the final budget, NETWORK's advocacy helped secure big wins for our community including securing full funding for the 2020 Census, increased funding to expand affordable housing and vouchers, funding for election

(continued on page 8)



NETWORK staff and summer volunteers support provisions that would lower drug prices in the new USMCA Trade Agreement.



Giovana Oaxaca leads the crowd in praying the Rosary before processing to the Supreme Court.

security, and limiting funding for immigration enforcement. Also, NETWORK’s work with House Democratic Members on improving the U.S.-Mexico-Canada Agreement (USMCA, the replacement for NAFTA) yielded success before it was passed. This included removing provisions that set high medicine prices and adding significant new labor protections to protect workers in all three countries.

### The Year Ahead

While the work in the House in 2019 gave us much to celebrate, we anticipate 2020 will be a year of even greater political gridlock. Early in the year, the Republican-controlled Senate will have to decide on an impeachment verdict for President Trump, and the House will focus on passing remaining priorities from their policy platform — which includes tax relief, access to affordable health care, as well as investments in housing, infrastructure, and safe and secure elections.

With the 2020 presidential election cycle gaining momentum, we anticipate the first half of the year to be busier than the second on Capitol Hill. Once the presidential nominees have been determined, meaningful Congressional work will stop until after the election. Regardless of the 2020 election results,

November and December will keep our current divided government wrestling to wrap-up year-end work.

We urge NETWORK members to stay engaged with us to learn more about our legislative priorities for 2020 and ways you can take action throughout the year.



Charlotte Hakikson at the Rosary March for DACA in November.

### Supreme Court Decisions in 2020

Several important Supreme Court decisions are on the horizon in 2020. Cases heard this session will affect DACA (Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals), the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB), and protections for LGBT+ people in the workplace.

On November 12, 2019, while the Supreme Court heard arguments about DACA, NETWORK gathered outside the Supreme Court with many advocates and justice-seekers. We joined in proclaiming that Dreamers’ home is here. The Supreme Court’s decision will alter the fate of hundreds of thousands of DACA recipients and of the United States itself. For now, we anxiously await the ruling to determine the safety and security of DACA recipients in 2020 and urge the Senate to join the House in passing legislation to protect DACA recipients from deportation.

## Scoring the Senate's Lack of Votes in 2019

Unfortunately, it was impossible to create a NETWORK Congressional voting record for the Senate's work in 2019. While the House passed more than 400 bills, Senate Majority Leader Mitch McConnell chose to bury almost all of this legislation. We regret that the Senate did not cast enough votes on legislation this year to compile an adequate voting record.

In 2019, the House passed important legislation addressing voting rights, justice for immigrants, access to health care, affordable housing, and other critical issues facing our country. NETWORK members across the country mobilized in support of the legislation recorded in NETWORK's House Voting Record, as well as other bills that advanced the common good in our nation. All of these bills, no matter what issue they addressed or how much support they received, met the same fate in the Senate: an unceremonious death at the hands of Majority Leader Mitch McConnell.

Even bills with bipartisan support in the House, like the Paycheck Fairness Act, which also gained 46 cosponsors in the Senate, were doomed to the Senate graveyard. Majority Leader McConnell gleefully sealed the fate of nearly every piece of legislation NETWORK supported in 2019; they languished in the Senate for the remainder of the year.

Instead of voting on important legislation, Majority Leader McConnell directed the Senate to focus solely on approving nominations to the federal judiciary. Senate votes on judicial nominees accounted for 71% of votes taken all year (297 out of 420 total votes) and President Trump has now appointed nearly as many circuit court judges as President Obama did in his eight-year presidency. In contrast, there were only 16 votes total (4%) devoted to actual legislative activity outside of the federal budget and appropriations process.

Legislation	Date Passed in the House	Time Waiting for a Senate Vote*
H.R.1 - For the People Act	March 8, 2019	9 months, 24 days
H.R.3 - Lower Drug Costs Now Act	December 12, 2019	20 days
H.R.4 - Voting Rights Advancement Act	December 6, 2019	26 days
H.R.5 - Equality Act	May 17, 2019	7 months, 15 days
H.R.6 - American Dream and Promise Act	June 4, 2019	6 months, 28 days
H.R.7 - Paycheck Fairness Act	March 27, 2019	9 months, 5 days
H.R.8 - Bipartisan Background Checks Act	February 27, 2019	10 months, 5 days
H.R.9 - Climate Action Now Act	May 2, 2019	7 months, 30 days
H.R.582 - Raise the Wage Act	July 18, 2019	5 months, 14 days
H.R.1585 - Violence Against Women Reauthorization Act	April 4, 2019	8 months, 28 days
H.R.2722 - SAFE Act	June 27, 2019	6 months, 5 days
H.R.5038 - Farm Workforce Modernization Act	December 11, 2019	21 days

\*As of January 1, 2020

## House Changes during This Session

*The 2019 House Voting Record begins on Page 10.*

- Rep. Tom Marino (R-PA-12), Resigned January 23, 2019
- Rep. Fred Keller (R-PA-12), Elected May 21, 2019
- Rep. Walter B. Jones (R-NC-03), Passed away on February 10, 2019
- Rep. Gregory F. Murphy (R-NC-03), Elected September 10, 2019
- Rep. Dan Bishop (R-NC-09), Elected September 10, 2019
- Rep. Sean P. Duffy (R-WI-07), Resigned September 23, 2019
- Rep. Chris Collins (R-NY-27), Resigned September 30, 2019
- Rep. Elijah E. Cummings (D-MD-07), Passed away on October 17, 2019
- Rep. Katie Hill (D-CA-25), Resigned November 3, 2019
- Rep. Jeff Van Drew (D-NJ-2), Switched from Democrat to Republican on December 17, 2019

## HOUSE VOTES

### 1 For The People Act – Vote #118 (H.R.1)

NETWORK supported this bill, which includes bold democracy reforms that would expand voting access and set forth much needed provisions related to election security, campaign finance, and ethics in all three branches of government.

*Passed 234-198, March 8, 2019*

### 2 Paycheck Fairness Act – Vote #134 (H.R.7)

NETWORK supported this legislation to address the gender pay gap. It would expand workers' protections from retaliation; equalize discrimination claims across gender, race, and ethnicity; and require employers to address patterns of pay discrepancy in the workplace.

*Passed 242-187, March 27, 2019*

### 3 Equality Act – Vote #217 (H.R.5)

This bill codifies into law protections for members of the LGBTQ+ community from discrimination in education, employment, housing, and other settings. NETWORK supported this bill because we respect the dignity of all people and the right to live free from discrimination.

*Passed 236-173, May 17, 2019*

### 4 American Dream and Promise Act – Vote #240 (H.R.6)

Following the Trump administration's 2017 termination of DACA, and subsequent legal cases (including now a Supreme Court case), members of the House passed a bill to protect DACA recipients. NETWORK supported this bill, which would establish a pathway to citizenship for undocumented youth and Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA), Temporary Protected Status (TPS), and Deferred Enforced Departure (DED) recipients.

*Passed 237-187, June 4, 2019*

### 5 SAFE Act – Vote #428 (H.R.2722)

NETWORK supported this bill, which puts into place best practices for securing the nation's election infrastructure and administration. The bill requires paper ballots for federal elections as well as other provisions to promote optimal election security and safeguards from foreign interference in federal elections.

*Passed 225-184, June 27, 2019*

### 6 Raise the Wage Act – Vote #496 (H.R.582)

This bill would gradually increase the federal minimum wage to \$15 per hour (from \$7.25) by 2025. Additionally, the bill would raise earnings for tipped workers, youth workers, and workers with disabilities earning subminimum wages. NETWORK supported this bill, which respects the dignity of workers and the right to just, adequate wages.

*Passed 231-199, July 18, 2019*

### 7 Voting Rights Advancement Act (VRAA) – Vote #654 (H.R.4)

NETWORK supported this long overdue bill, which restores and strengthens the Section 5 formula for preclearance in the original Voting Rights Act of 1965. Returning federal preclearance requirements for certain jurisdictions and historically suppressive voting law changes would curtail the increased instances of voter suppression since the 2013 *Shelby County v. Holder* decision.

*Passed 228-187, December 6, 2019*

### 8 Farm Workforce Modernization Act – Vote #674 (H.R.5038)

NETWORK supported this bill. It would supply farms with more workers by providing a path to legal status for undocumented laborers and the opportunity to work through the H-2A visa system. The passage is a major boost for the agriculture industry, which has been impacted by a farm labor crisis and garnered rare bipartisan support in the House.

*Passed 260-165, December 11, 2019*

### 9 Elijah E. Cummings Lower Drug Costs Now Act – Vote #682 (H.R.3)

This bill allows for direct government negotiation of drug prices, which will prevent pharmaceutical companies from arbitrarily spiking drug prices so they become inaccessible for most families. NETWORK supported this bill because everyone has a right to quality, affordable health care.

*Passed 230-192, December 12, 2019*

### 10 U.S.-Mexico-Canada Agreement Implementation Act (USMCA) – Vote #701 (H.R.5430)

NETWORK supported this update of the North American Free Trade Agreement. This sweeping trade agreement fixes known shortfalls in the original NAFTA related to labor standards and enforcement of labor protections and the final version successfully removed provisions added by the Trump administration that would have locked in high drug prices.

*Passed 385-41, December 19, 2019*

116<sup>th</sup> CONGRESS  
FIRST SESSION

HOW THEY  
VOTED IN THE  
HOUSE

NETWORK position	For the People Act	Paycheck Fairness Act	Equality Act	Dream and Promise Act	SAFE Act	Raise the Wage Act	VRAA	Farm Workforce Modernization	Lower Drug Cost Now Act	USMCA	%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
<b>ALABAMA</b>											
1 Byrne (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	o	-	-	+	11%*
2 Roby (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
3 Rogers, M. (R)	o	-	-	-	o	-	-	-	-	+	13%*
4 Aderholt (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
5 Brooks, M. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
6 Palmer (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
7 Sewell (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
<b>ALASKA</b>											
AL Young (R)	-	-	o	-	o	-	-	+	-	+	25%*
<b>ARIZONA</b>											
1 O'Halleran (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
2 Kirkpatrick (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
3 Grijalva (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
4 Gosar (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	o	-	o	+	13%*
5 Biggs (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
6 Schweikert (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
7 Gallego (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	100%
8 Lesko (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
9 Stanton (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
<b>ARKANSAS</b>											
1 Crawford (R)	o	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	11%*
2 Hill (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
3 Womack (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
4 Westerman (R)	-	-	o	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	11%*
<b>CALIFORNIA</b>											
1 LaMalfa (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	20%
2 Huffman (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	90%
3 Garamendi (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
4 McClintock (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
5 Thompson, M. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
6 Matsui (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
7 Bera (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
8 Cook (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	20%
9 McNerney (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
10 Harder (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
11 DeSaulnier (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	90%
12 Pelosi (D)	+	+	+	+	o	+	+	+	o	+	100%*
13 Lee, B. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	90%
14 Speier (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
15 Swalwell (D)	+	+	o	o	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%*
16 Costa (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
17 Khanna (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
18 Eshoo (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
19 Lofgren (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
20 Panetta (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
21 Cox (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
22 Nunes (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	20%
23 McCarthy (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
24 Carbajal (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
25 Hill (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%*
26 Brownley (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
27 Chu (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
28 Schiff (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
29 Cardenas (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	90%
30 Sherman (D)	+	+	+	o	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%*
31 Aguilar (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
32 Napolitano (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
33 Lieu (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	o	o	-	89%*
34 Gomez (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
35 Torres (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
36 Ruiz (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
37 Bass (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	o	+	+	+	100%*

Key to votes:

Voted with NETWORK +  
Voted against NETWORK -  
Did not vote o  
Inactive/not in office |

NETWORK position	For the People Act	Paycheck Fairness Act	Equality Act	Dream and Promise Act	SAFE Act	Raise the Wage Act	VRAA	Farm Workforce Modernization	Lower Drug Cost Now Act	USMCA	%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
<b>CALIFORNIA (CONTINUED)</b>											
38 Sanchez (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
39 Cisneros (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
40 Roybal-Allard (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
41 Takano (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
42 Calvert (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
43 Waters (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
44 Barragan (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	o	+	-	90%*
45 Porter (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	o	+	+	100%*
46 Correa (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
47 Lowenthal (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	90%
48 Rouda (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
49 Levin, M. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
50 Hunter (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	o	o	o	o	0%*
51 Vargas (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
52 Peters, S. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
53 Davis, S. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
<b>COLORADO</b>											
1 DeGette (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
2 Neguse (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
3 Tipton (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	20%
4 Buck (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
5 Lamborn (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
6 Crow (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
7 Perlmutter (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
<b>CONNECTICUT</b>											
1 Larson, J. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	o	+	+	+	100%*
2 Courtney (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
3 DeLauro (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
4 Himes (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
5 Hayes (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
<b>DELAWARE</b>											
AL Blunt Rochester (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
<b>DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA</b>											
AL Norton (D)											
<b>FLORIDA</b>											
1 Gaetz (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
2 Dunn (R)	o	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11%*
3 Yoho (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
4 Rutherford (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
5 Lawson (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
6 Waltz (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
7 Murphy, S. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
8 Posey (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
9 Soto (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
10 Demings (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
11 Webster (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
12 Bilirakis (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
13 Crist (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
14 Castor (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
15 Spano (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
16 Buchanan (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
17 Steube (R)	-	-	o	-	o	-	-	-	-	+	13%*
18 Mast (R)	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	20%
19 Rooney (R)	-	-	-	-	o	+	-	o	o	+	29%*
20 Hastings (D)	+	+	+	o	o	+	+	+	+	+	100%*
21 Frankel (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
22 Deutch (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
23 Wasserman Schultz (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
24 Wilson, F. (D)	+	+	+	o	o	+	+	+	+	+	100%*
25 Diaz-Balart (R)	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	50%
26 Mucarsel-Powell (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
27 Shalala (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%

\* Percentage with asterisk (\*) signifies that legislator did not vote on all relevant bills

116<sup>th</sup> CONGRESS  
FIRST SESSION

HOW THEY  
VOTED IN THE  
HOUSE

	For the People Act	Paycheck Fairness Act	Equality Act	Dream and Promise Act	SAFE Act	Raise the Wage Act	VRAA	Farm Workforce Modernization	Lower Drug Cost Now Act	USMCA	%
<i>NETWORK position</i>	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	%
<b>GEORGIA</b>											
1 Carter, E.L. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
2 Bishop, S. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
3 Ferguson (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
4 Johnson, H. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
5 Lewis (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	o	+	100%*
6 McBath (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
7 Woodall (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
8 Scott, A. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
9 Collins, D. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
10 Hice (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
11 Loudermilk (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
12 Allen (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
13 Scott, D. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
14 Graves, T. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
<b>HAWAII</b>											
1 Case (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
2 Gabbard (D)	+	+	+	+	o	o	o	+	o	+	100%*
<b>IDAHO</b>											
1 Fulcher (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	20%
2 Simpson (R)	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	30%
<b>ILLINOIS</b>											
1 Rush (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
2 Kelly, R. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
3 Lipinski (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
4 Garcia, J. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	90%
5 Quigley (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
6 Casten (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
7 Davis, D. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
8 Krishnamoorthi (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
9 Schakowsky (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
10 Schneider (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
11 Foster (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
12 Bost (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	20%
13 Davis, R. (R)	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	30%
14 Underwood (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
15 Shimkus (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	o	13%*
16 Kinzinger (R)	-	-	-	-	o	-	o	+	-	+	25%*
17 Bustos (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
18 LaHood (R)	-	-	o	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	11%*
<b>INDIANA</b>											
1 Visclosky (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	90%
2 Walorski (R)	-	-	-	-	o	-	-	-	-	+	11%*
3 Banks (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
4 Baird (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	20%
5 Brooks, S. (R)	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	30%
6 Pence (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
7 Carson (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
8 Bucshon (R)	-	-	o	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	11%*
9 Hollingsworth (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
<b>IOWA</b>											
1 Finkenaer (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
2 Loeb sack (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
3 Axne (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
4 King, S. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
<b>KANSAS</b>											
1 Marshall (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
2 Watkins (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
3 Davids (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
4 Estes (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%

Key to votes:

Voted with NETWORK +  
 Voted against NETWORK -  
 Did not vote o  
 Inactive/not in office |

	For the People Act	Paycheck Fairness Act	Equality Act	Dream and Promise Act	SAFE Act	Raise the Wage Act	VRAA	Farm Workforce Modernization	Lower Drug Cost Now Act	USMCA	%
<i>NETWORK position</i>	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	%
<b>KENTUCKY</b>											
1 Comer (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
2 Guthrie (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
3 Yarmuth (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
4 Massie (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
5 Rogers, H. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
6 Barr (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	o	-	-	+	11%*
<b>LOUISIANA</b>											
1 Scalise (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
2 Richmond (D)	+	+	+	+	o	+	+	+	+	+	100%*
3 Higgins, C. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
4 Johnson, M. (R)	-	-	o	-	o	-	-	-	-	+	13%*
5 Abraham (R)	-	-	-	-	o	o	-	-	-	+	13%*
6 Graves, G. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
<b>MAINE</b>											
1 Pingree (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	90%
2 Golden (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	80%
<b>MARYLAND</b>											
1 Harris (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
2 Ruppersberger (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
3 Sarbanes (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
4 Brown (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	90%
5 Hoyer (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
6 Trone (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
7 Cummings (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+					100%*
8 Raskin (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	90%
<b>MASSACHUSETTS</b>											
1 Neal (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
2 McGovern (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	90%
3 Trahan (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
4 Kennedy (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	90%
5 Clark, K. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
6 Moulton (D)	+	+	o	+	o	+	+	+	+	+	100%*
7 Pressley (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	90%
8 Lynch (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
9 Keating (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
<b>MICHIGAN</b>											
1 Bergman (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
2 Huizenga (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
3 Amash (I)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
4 Moolenaar (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
5 Kildee (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
6 Upton (R)	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	30%
7 Walberg (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
8 Slotkin (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
9 Levin, A. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	90%
10 Mitchell (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	20%
11 Stevens (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
12 Dingell (D)	+	+	o	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%*
13 Tlaib (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	o	-	89%*
14 Lawrence (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
<b>MINNESOTA</b>											
1 Hagedorn (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
2 Craig (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
3 Phillips (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
4 McCollum (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
5 Omar (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	90%
6 Emmer (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	o	-	-	+	11%*
7 Peterson (D)	+	+	o	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%*
8 Stauber (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%

\*Percentage with asterisk (\*) signifies that legislator did not vote on all relevant bills

116<sup>th</sup> CONGRESS  
FIRST SESSION

HOW THEY  
VOTED IN THE  
HOUSE

	For the People Act	Paycheck Fairness Act	Equality Act	Dream and Promise Act	SAFE Act	Raise the Wage Act	VRAA	Farm Workforce Modernization	Lower Drug Cost Now Act	USMCA	%
NETWORK position	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	%
<b>MISSISSIPPI</b>											
1 Kelly, T. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10%
2 Thompson, B. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
3 Guest (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
4 Palazzo (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
<b>MISSOURI</b>											
1 Clay (D)	o	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	89%*
2 Wagner (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
3 Luetkemeyer (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
4 Hartzler (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
5 Cleaver (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
6 Graves, S. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
7 Long (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
8 Smith, J. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
<b>MONTANA</b>											
AL Gianforte (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
<b>NEBRASKA</b>											
1 Fortenberry (R)	-	-	-	-	-	o	-	-	-	+	11%*
2 Bacon (R)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	20%
3 Smith, A. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
<b>NEVADA</b>											
1 Titus (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
2 Amodei (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	20%
3 Lee, S. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
4 Horsford (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
<b>NEW HAMPSHIRE</b>											
1 Pappas (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
2 Kuster (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
<b>NEW JERSEY</b>											
1 Norcross (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	90%
2 Van Drew (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
3 Kim (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
4 Smith, C. (R)	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	50%
5 Gottheimer (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
6 Pallone (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	90%
7 Malinowski (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
8 Sires (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
9 Pascrell (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	90%
10 Payne (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
11 Sherrill (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
12 Watson Coleman (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	90%
<b>NEW MEXICO</b>											
1 Haaland (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
2 Torres Small (D)	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	90%
3 Lujan (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
<b>NEW YORK</b>											
1 Zeldin (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
2 King, P. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	20%
3 Suozzi (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
4 Rice, K. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
5 Meeks (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
6 Meng (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	90%
7 Velazquez (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	90%
8 Jeffries (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
9 Clarke, Y. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	90%
10 Nadler (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	o	100%*
11 Rose, M. (D)	+	+	o	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%*
12 Maloney, C. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	90%
13 Espaillat (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	90%
14 Ocasio-Cortez (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	90%
15 Serrano (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	o	o	o	o	100%*
16 Engel (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	90%

Key to votes:

Voted with NETWORK +  
Voted against NETWORK -  
Did not vote o  
Inactive/not in office |

	For the People Act	Paycheck Fairness Act	Equality Act	Dream and Promise Act	SAFE Act	Raise the Wage Act	VRAA	Farm Workforce Modernization	Lower Drug Cost Now Act	USMCA	%
NETWORK position	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	%
<b>NEW YORK (CONTINUED)</b>											
17 Lowey (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
18 Maloney, S.P. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
19 Delgado (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
20 Tonko (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	90%
21 Stefanik (R)	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	30%
22 Brindisi (D)	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	90%
23 Reed (R)	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	40%
24 Katko (R)	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	30%
25 Morelle (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
26 Higgins, B. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
27 Collins, C. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	l	l	l	l	0%*
<b>NORTH CAROLINA</b>											
1 Butterfield (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
2 Holding (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
3 Murphy, G. (R)	l	l	l	l	l	l	-	-	-	+	25%*
4 Price (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
5 Foxx (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
6 Walker (R)	-	-	o	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	11%*
7 Rouzer (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
8 Hudson (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
9 Bishop, D. (R)	l	l	l	l	l	l	-	-	-	+	25%*
10 McHenry (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	o	-	-	+	11%*
11 Meadows (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	o	0%*
12 Adams (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
13 Budd (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
<b>NORTH DAKOTA</b>											
AL Armstrong (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
<b>OHIO</b>											
1 Chabot (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
2 Wenstrup (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
3 Beatty (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
4 Jordan (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
5 Latta (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
6 Johnson, B. (R)	-	-	o	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	11%*
7 Gibbs (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
8 Davidson (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
9 Kaptur (D)	+	+	+	+	o	+	+	+	+	+	89%*
10 Turner (R)	-	-	o	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	11%*
11 Fudge (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	90%
12 Balderson (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
13 Ryan (D)	+	+	o	+	o	+	+	+	+	+	100%*
14 Joyce (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	20%
15 Stivers (R)	o	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	22%*
16 Gonzalez (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
<b>OKLAHOMA</b>											
1 Hern (R)	-	-	-	o	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%*
2 Mullin (R)	-	-	-	o	o	-	-	-	-	+	13%*
3 Lucas (R)	-	-	-	o	-	-	-	-	-	+	11%*
4 Cole (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	20%
5 Horn (D)	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	90%
<b>OREGON</b>											
1 Bonamici (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
2 Walden (R)	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	30%
3 Blumenauer (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
4 DeFazio (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	90%
5 Schrader (D)	+	+	+	+	o	-	+	+	+	+	89%*
<b>PENNSYLVANIA</b>											
1 Fitzpatrick (R)	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	80%
2 Boyle (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
3 Evans (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
4 Dean (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%

116<sup>th</sup> CONGRESS  
FIRST SESSION

HOW THEY  
VOTED IN THE  
HOUSE

	For the People Act	Paycheck Fairness Act	Equality Act	Dream and Promise Act	SAFE Act	Raise the Wage Act	VRAA	Farm Workforce Modernization	Lower Drug Cost Now Act	USMCA	%
NETWORK position	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	%
<b>PENNSYLVANIA (CONTINUED)</b>											
5 Scanlon (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
6 Houlihan (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
7 Wild (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
8 Cartwright (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	o	+	+	+	100%*
9 Meuser (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10%
10 Perry (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
11 Smucker (R)	-	-	o	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	22%*
12 Keller (R)				-	-	-	-	-	-	+	14%*
13 Joyce (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
14 Reschenthaler (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
15 Thompson, G. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	20%
16 Kelly, M. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
17 Lamb (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
18 Doyle (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
<b>PUERTO RICO</b>											
AL. Gonzalez-Colon (R)											
<b>RHODE ISLAND</b>											
1 Cicilline (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
2 Langevin (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
<b>SOUTH CAROLINA</b>											
1 Cunningham (D)	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	90%
2 Wilson, J. (R)	-	o	o	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	13%*
3 Duncan (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
4 Timmons (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
5 Norman (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	o	-	-	+	11%*
6 Clyburn (D)	+	+	o	o	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%*
7 Rice, T. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
<b>SOUTH DAKOTA</b>											
AL. Johnson, D. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
<b>TENNESSEE</b>											
1 Roe (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
2 Burchett (R)	-	-	o	-	-	-	-	-	o	+	13%*
3 Fleischmann (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
4 DesJarlais (R)	-	o	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	11%*
5 Cooper (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
6 Rose, J. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
7 Green, M. (R)	-	-	o	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	11%*
8 Kustoff (R)	-	-	-	o	-	-	-	-	-	+	11%*
9 Cohen (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
<b>TEXAS</b>											
1 Gohmert (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
2 Crenshaw (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
3 Taylor (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
4 Ratcliffe (R)	-	-	o	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	11%*
5 Gooden (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
6 Wright (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
7 Fletcher (R)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
8 Brady (R)	-	-	o	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	11%*
9 Green, A. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
10 McCaul (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
11 Conaway (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
12 Granger (R)	-	o	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	11%*
13 Thornberry (R)	-	-	-	-	o	-	-	-	-	+	11%*
14 Weber (R)	-	-	o	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	11%*
15 Gonzalez (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
16 Escobar (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
17 Flores (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
18 Jackson Lee (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
19 Arrington (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
20 Castro (D)	+	+	+	+	o	+	+	+	+	+	100%*
21 Roy (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%

Key to votes:

Voted with NETWORK +  
Voted against NETWORK -  
Did not vote o  
Inactive/not in office |

	For the People Act	Paycheck Fairness Act	Equality Act	Dream and Promise Act	SAFE Act	Raise the Wage Act	VRAA	Farm Workforce Modernization	Lower Drug Cost Now Act	USMCA	%
NETWORK position	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	%
<b>TEXAS (CONTINUED)</b>											
22 Olson (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
23 Hurd (R)	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	50%
24 Marchant (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	o	-	-	+	11%*
25 Williams (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
26 Burgess (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
27 Cloud (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
28 Cuellar (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
29 Garcia, S. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
30 Johnson, E.B. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
31 Carter, J. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
32 Allred (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
33 Veasey (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
34 Vela (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
35 Doggett (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
36 Babin (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
<b>UTAH</b>											
1 Bishop, R. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
2 Stewart (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
3 Curtis (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
4 McAdams (D)	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	80%
<b>VERMONT</b>											
AL. Welch (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
<b>VIRGINIA</b>											
1 Wittman (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
2 Luria (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
3 Scott, R. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	90%
4 McEachin (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	90%
5 Riggleman (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
6 Cline (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
7 Spanberger (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
8 Beyer (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
9 Griffith (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
10 Wexton (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
11 Connolly (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
<b>WASHINGTON</b>											
1 DelBene (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
2 Larsen, R. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
3 Herrera Beutler (R)	-	-	-	o	-	-	-	+	+	+	33%*
4 Newhouse (R)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	30%
5 McMorris Rodgers (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	20%
6 Kilmer (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
7 Jayapal (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	90%
8 Schrier (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
9 Smith, A. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
10 Heck (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
<b>WEST VIRGINIA</b>											
1 McKinley (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	20%
2 Mooney (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
3 Miller (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
<b>WISCONSIN</b>											
1 Steil (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
2 Pocan (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	90%
3 Kind (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
4 Moore (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%
5 Sensenbrenner (R)	-	-	-	-	o	-	-	-	-	+	11%*
6 Grothman (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
7 Duffy (R)	-	-	o	-	-	-					0%*
8 Gallagher (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%
<b>WYOMING</b>											
AL. Cheney (R)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10%

\*Percentage with asterisk (\*) signifies that legislator did not vote on all relevant bills

*NETWORK—a Catholic leader in the global movement for justice and peace—educates, organizes, and lobbies for economic and social transformation.*

**NETWORK LOBBY FOR CATHOLIC SOCIAL JUSTICE**

**BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

Patricia Mullahy Fugere (Chair)  
Erin Zubal, OSU (Vice Chair)  
Alejandra Marroquin (Treasurer)  
Rachelle Reyes Wenger (Secretary)  
Catalina Adorno  
Regina Ann Brummel, CSJ  
Leslye Colvin  
Catherine Ferguson, SNJM  
Bridget Flood  
Mary Beth Hamm, SSJ  
Alice Kitchen  
Anne Li  
Sarah Marin  
John Noble  
Robbie Pentecost, OSF  
Ann Scholz, SSND  
Jerry Zurek

**NETWORK ADVOCATES FOR CATHOLIC SOCIAL JUSTICE**

**BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

Mary Beth Hamm, SSJ (Chair)  
Leslye Colvin (Vice Chair)  
Catalina Adorno (Treasurer)  
Robbie Pentecost, OSF (Secretary)  
Regina Ann Brummel, CSJ  
Catherine Ferguson, SNJM  
Bridget Flood  
Patricia Mullahy Fugere  
Alice Kitchen  
Anne Li  
Sarah Marin  
Alejandra Marroquin  
John Noble  
Ann Scholz, SSND  
Rachelle Reyes Wenger  
Erin Zubal, OSU  
Jerry Zurek

**STAFF**

**COMMUNICATIONS TEAM**

Taylor Miller, Lee Morrow  
Vanessa Perry, Colleen Ross

**DEVELOPMENT & MEMBERSHIP TEAM**

Maggie Brevig, India-Grace Kellogg  
June Martin, Kristen Schol

**EXECUTIVE TEAM**

Simone Campbell, SSS,  
Danielle Johnson, Paul Marchione

**GOVERNMENT RELATIONS TEAM**

Anne Marie Bonds, Quincy Howard, OP, Giovana Oaxaca,  
Laura Peralta-Schulte, Tralonne Shorter

**GRASSROOTS MOBILIZATION TEAM**

Alex Burnett, Catherine Gillette,  
Charlotte Hakikson, Meg Olson

**FELLOWS**

Nancy Groth, Joan Neal, Patricia Sodo



## Justice-Seekers, Use Your IRA Distributions to Work for Justice!

***Did you know? You can use your IRA distributions for justice!***

Are you 70½ years of age or older and have an IRA account? Or did you inherit an IRA that you must withdraw from?

If so, you may be able to make a charitable gift with your required distributions.

The Charitable Rollover allows you to make charitable contributions directly from your IRA to charitable organizations like NETWORK Advocates, without claiming increased income for federal taxes. It also allows your gift to count toward your Required Minimum Distribution. A rollover can't be counted as a charitable gift for income tax purposes, but it does fulfill your obligation to withdraw funds from your IRA.

If you have the ability to comfortably designate some of your Required Minimum Distribution to NETWORK Advocates' mission of justice and peace, your gift will be put to work right away. Your gift will equip activists with the resources and platforms needed to meet the challenges ahead.

Questions? For assistance or more information, contact Maggie Brevig at [mbrevig@networklobby.org](mailto:mbrevig@networklobby.org) or (202) 347-9797 ext. 217.



820 First Street NE, Suite 350  
Washington, DC 20002  
phone: 202-347-9797  
fax: 202-347-9864  
www.networklobby.org  
www.networkadvocates.org

NON-PROFIT  
ORGANIZATION  
U.S. POSTAGE  
PAID  
PERMIT NO. 6962  
WASHINGTON, DC



In 2016, Senator Tammy Baldwin of Wisconsin scored 100% on NETWORK's Congressional Voting Record.  
**Learn why there are no Senate scores for 2019 inside!**